

Shiota et al.

Application No. 09/147,428 Applicant(s)

Interview Summary	Examiner	Examiner Art Unit		
	Ivars Cintins	1724		
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, P1	ΓΟ personnel):			
(1) Ivars Cintins	(3)			
(2) Mr. Masayasu Mori (4	7 301) (4)			
	1 7-1)\"			
Date of Interview Apr 28, 2003			•	
Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☒ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant	nt 2)🛛 applicant's represent	ative]		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) \square Yes	e)区 No. If yes, brief desc	ription:		
Claim(s) discussed: 6, 75	proposed new o	laims		
Identification of prior art discussed: Centry			·	
Agreement with respect to the claims f)☐ was reach	ned. g) was not reached.	hj⊟ N/A.		
Substance of Interview including description of the generally other comments: The claims will be the invention.	eral nature of what was agreed	to if an agreement was	reached, or efine	
	1			
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the am allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where ravailable, a summary thereof must be attached.)	endments which the examiner no copy of the amendments that	agreed would render the it would render the clain	e claims ns allowable is	
i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a se	eparate record of the substance	of the interview (if box	is checked).	
Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FO INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Re	MPEP section 713.04). If a re FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE	ply to the last Office act TO FILE A STATEMENT	tion has FOF THE	
		IVARS	CINTINS	
_		PRIMARY	EXAMINER NIT 1724	
Everyland New York market that the transition of the	XU	as unh	ns	
Examiner Notes. You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Exami	ner's signature, if requir	ed	